AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

SB 238 2011 General Session

Bill Title

Sen. David P. Hinkins Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman Sponsor:

Agency Contact: Jenefer Youngfield Title: School Construction Specialist

Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7669 Cell: 801-513-6682 Agency

7	C11 . TC						
Α	Short Form) (For hills that I	nave no impact o	on the state local	governments	husinesses	or individuals)

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill. There is no fiscal impact on local governments. There is no fiscal impact on businesses

There is no fiscal impact on individuals.

The bill will not affect revenues.

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Lines 95 thru 102 require the chief public officer of a public employer to prepare an annual certification, and certify if the government entity was compliant; the certification shall be provided to the public upon request.

C. Which program gets the appropriation?

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.

PAD

For multiple appropriations This is

D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

SB 238 will require an ongoing State Appropriation to the Uniform School Fund of \$62,621 to cover the costs of compliance by State Education Agencies (SEA) and Local Education Agencies (LEAs), arising from the requirement for certification of compliance and inclusion of the certification of compliance in the external audit of an SEA or LEA. Such certification of compliance and inclusion in the Financial Audit Report will likely cause a slight increase in the cost of each external audit for each SEA and LEA. See the attached Assumptions & Projections worksheet calculating the costs for compliance with SB 238. The items in Black on the worksheet can be changed by the Legislative Fiscal Analyst's Office based on desired changes in assumptions. The items in Blue are the formulas that calculate the costs based on the assumptions that are put in the Black lines. Please call if additional information is required to understand or make changes to the Assumptions & Projections worksheet.

E. REVENUES				
Select Fund		Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
	Total	0	0	0

F. COSTS by FUN	1D		
Select Fund	Current Budget FY 2011	Year Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
Uniform School Fund		62,621	62,621
	Total	0 62,621	62,621

G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY. Current Budget Year Coming Budget Year Future Budget Year Expenses by Category FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 40,221 40,221 **Personal Services** Travel 22,400 22,400 **Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay** Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru 0 62,621 62,621 **Total**

H. Non-State Impacts Your estimate of how will the bill affect: Local Governments LEAs will be required to certify compliance with SB 238 and include such certification in their Financial Audit Report. LEAs will be required to develop programs to track compliance of contractors. Businesses Businesses that seek to become contractors of SEAs or LEAs will be required to comply with SB 238 realizing a small incremental increase in administration of employee hiring. Auditor may realize increase revenues from added audits. Individuals Individuals that seek to become contractors of SEAs or LEAs will be required to comply with SB 238 realizing a small incremental increase in administration of employee hiring.

Attachments welcome.

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.

2010 Version 11.09

SB 238 - Verification of Employment Status

22 230 Vermodien er Empleyment etatas	
Assumptions	
State Education Agencies (USOE, EHS, USDB)	3
Number of School Districts	41
Number of Charter Schools (private employers)	80
Estimated Total FTEs in Public Education in Utah	28,000
Work hours per year	2,080
Employee Turnover per year	5.00%
Human Resource Specialist fully loaded annual cost	\$ 118,976
HR Specialist hours to comply per New Hire or FTE	0.15
Superintendent fully loaded annual cost	\$ 168,670
Superintendent Hours to comply	4.00
Incremental additional external audit cost per audited New Hire	\$ 12.50
Percent of New Hire population to be audited	35.0%
Number of Schools across State of Utah	1,085
Estimated number of Contracts per school	12.00
Incremental additional external audit cost per audited Contract	\$ 50.00
Percent of Contracts to be audited	2.50%
Estimated total number of entities in Public Education	124
Hourly Cost for HR Specialist	\$ 57.20
Hourly Cost for Superintendent	\$ 81.09
Cost per Superintendent to comply	\$ 324
Estimated number of Contracts across K-12 public education	13,020
New Hires to be audited for compliance	490
Estimated number of Contracts to be audited for compliance	 326
Projections	
Superintendency oversight and certification	\$ 40,221
Additional external auditing of New Hires	\$ 6,125
Additional external auditing of Contractors	\$ 16,275
Total State Wide Ongoing Costs	\$ 62,621